Exhibit 10

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

Seven Times Square New York, New York 10036 David J. Molton Marek P. Krzyzowski 212-209-4800

-and-

SELENDY & GAY PLLC

1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104 David Elsberg Caitlin Halligan Andrew Dunlap Lena Konanova Ron Krock 212-390-9000

Attorneys for the Foreign Representatives

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED, et al., Debtors in Foreign Proceedings.	Chapter 15 Case Case No. 10-13164 (SMB) Jointly Administered
FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION), acting by and through the Foreign Representatives thereof, and KENNETH KRYS and CHARLOTTE CAULFIELD, solely in their capacities as Foreign Representatives and Liquidators thereof, Plaintiffs, -against-)))))) Adv. Pro. No. 10-04095) (SMB))) THIRD AMENDED) COMPLAINT
UBS FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED; CORCOVADO INVESTMENT FUND, SPC – GLOBAL STRATEGIES; CORCOVADO INVESTMENT FUND, SPC – GLOBAL STRATEGIES PLUS; CORCOVADO INVESTMENT FUND, SPC – GLOBAL STRATEGIES SEGR PORTFOLIO; DGAM ALTERNATIVE	

```
FUND L.P.;
STRATEGY
                      DGAM
                             ALTERNATIVE )
STRATEGY FUND II, SPC
                          CELL
                                 A; DGAM
ALTERNATIVE STRATEGY FUND II, SPC - CELL B;
DGAM ASSET ALLOCATION FUND L.P.; LEGACY
FUND LTD.; OXFORD ALTERNATIVE STRATEGY
FUND
                             ALTERNATIVE
         CLASS
                A;
                    OXFORD
STRATEGY
                      CLASS
           FUND
                              D;
                                  OXFORD
ALTERNATIVE STRATEGY FUND - CLASS F; and
BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF ACCOUNTS HELD IN THE
NAME OF UBS FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED
1-1000,
                            Defendants.
```

Fairfield Sentry Limited ("Sentry"), by and through Kenneth Krys and Charlotte Caulfield (together with their predecessors, the "Foreign Representatives"), and Kenneth Krys and Charlotte Caulfield (together with Sentry, the "Plaintiffs"), solely in their capacities as the Liquidators of Sentry and the Foreign Representatives of the liquidation proceedings involving Sentry, Fairfield Sigma Limited ("Sigma"), and Fairfield Lambda Limited ("Lambda," together with Sentry and Sigma, the "Funds" or the "Debtors") pending before the Commercial Division of the Eastern Caribbean High Court of Justice, British Virgin Islands (the "BVI Court"), for their complaint against Defendants, allege the following based on personal knowledge or information derived from the Funds' books and records or from other sources, including, *inter alia*, court filings and statements of governmental agencies and other parties.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This action and similar actions are brought by the Plaintiffs, with the approval of the foreign court having jurisdiction over the matter, to recover payments made to shareholders for the redemption of shares in the Funds prior to December 2008.
- 2. The Funds were created as a means for private investment in managed accounts with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS"), the brokerage business that

his fraud. The payments from BLMIS to Sentry were not payments made in the ordinary course of or as part of any business, nor did they have a legitimate business purpose. Similarly, the Redemption Payments were not made for any legitimate purposes or in the ordinary course of any business.

42. Given the fraudulent nature of BLMIS and its operation as a massive Ponzi scheme, the money paid by the Funds (directly in the case of Sentry and indirectly in the cases of Sigma and Lambda) to BLMIS on account of Sentry was, at all relevant times and unknown to the Funds, misused and misappropriated by Madoff as part of his Ponzi scheme. At all relevant times, the Funds were insolvent when the Redemption Payments were made or were rendered insolvent, and/or their insolvency was deepened, as a result of the Redemption Payments.

C. Redemption Payments Made or Transferred to Defendants

- 43. During the period from and after September 16, 2008 through November 19, 2008, UBS Fund Services received Redemption Payments totaling USD \$198,919,401.20 from Sentry in respect of Shares tendered for redemption.
- 44. At UBS Fund Services's directions and instructions, UBS Fund Services received all of its Redemption Payments at its bank account with UBS AG in Stamford, Connecticut.
- 45. The dates and amounts of each Redemption Payment received by UBS Fund Services from Sentry, and the UBS Fund Services bank accounts to which each Redemption Payment was made, are set forth on Exhibit A.
- 46. At the time those Redemption Payments were made, the Funds had insufficient assets and were unable to pay their debts as they would fall due. In exchange for each Redemption Payment, each of which constitutes or forms part of a transaction between UBS Fund Services and Sentry, Sentry received no consideration or consideration of a value that, in

EXHIBIT A

10104039535rinm D000496Filed Gilan D3/23/24ter Enteran D20/23/2012/2514Main Exhibited Redemption Payments Regiscoff Labeled Filed Gilan D3/23/24ter Enteran D20/23/2012/2514Main Exhibited Redemption Payments Regiscoff Labeled Filed Gilan D3/23/24ter Enteran D20/23/2012/2514Main Exhibited Regiscoff Labeled Filed Fil

Payment Date	Redemption Payment	No. of Shares	Bank Account To Which Redemption
			Payment Was Made,
			Per Shareholder
			Direction ⁺
			UBS AG, Stamford
September 16, 2008	\$35,000,000.00*	26,043.2866	Connecticut
			UBS AG, Stamford
September 16, 2008	\$15,000,000.00*	11,161.4086	Connecticut
			UBS AG, Stamford
October 15, 2008	\$22,000,000.00*	16,289.0085	Connecticut
			UBS AG, Stamford
October 15, 2008	\$18,000,000.00*	13,327.3706	Connecticut
			UBS AG, Stamford
November 19, 2008	\$48,074,497.82*	35,616.5908	Connecticut
			UBS AG, Stamford
November 19, 2008	\$60,844,903.38*	45,077.7049	Connecticut

^{*} Denotes Redemptions in the Sentry Vulnerability Period.

⁺ Whether or not Redemption Payments were ultimately directed to bank accounts in the United States, all Redemption Payments from Sentry went through a correspondent bank account that Sentry's administrator maintained in the United States, and to the extent that any such Redemption Payments were directed outside the United States, they went through a correspondent bank account in the United States identified by shareholders for such payments.